

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/701,870	11/05/2003	Fritz Sieber	650053.91649 6714		
7590 04/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Zhibin Ren			TSAY, MARSHA M		
Quarles & Brad		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497			1653		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ammliantia	- Na	A1:4/-)				
Office Action Summary		Application		Applicant(s) SIEBER ET AL.				
		10/701,87	0					
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of the	Marsha M.	• 1	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE MAILING DESIGN	DATE OF TH 136(a). In no eve will apply and wil e, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be time  I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is no ance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2.4-12,26-30 and 52-66 is/are pendid 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 26-30 and 57 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,4-6,8,9 and 52-66 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from cor	nsideration.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da' 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	O-152)			
rape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/14/05</u> . 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1653

This Office action is in response to Applicants' remarks received February 6. 2006. Claims 1, 3, 13-25, and 31-51 are canceled. Claims 2, 4-12, 26-30, and 52-66 are pending and currently under examination.

Priority: The priority date is November 6, 2002.

## Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections

The rejection of claims 2, 4-6 and 8-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Labrenz et al. (2000 Science 290(5497): 1744-1747) is withdrawn.

## Maintenance of Objections and Rejections

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 4-6 and 8-9 are rejected again under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lou et al. (1993 Clinical Chemistry 39(4): 619-624). Lou et al. teach a rapid, one-step competitive immunochromatographic assay to measure lipoprotein(a) (Lp(a)) in plasma. To prepare one component of the assay strip, Lou et al. teach 1 mL of colloidal selenium solution was adjusted to pH 8.0 by adding 50 uL borate buffer. followed by the addition of 10 ug of Lp(a) protein (p. 620, col. 2; claims 2, 4-6, 8-9). The colloidal mixture was gently vortex-mixed for 2 min. and added to 10 uL of 100 g/L

Art Unit: 1653

polyethylene glycol to block and stabilize the Lp(a)-coated colloidal selenium (620; claims 2, 4-6, 8-9).

In their remarks, Applicants assert the colloid selenium prepared by Lou et al. is provided in Devereaux et al. (submitted by Applicants), which discloses the colloid selenium was precipitated and washed by centrifugation. According to Applicants, a skilled artisan would appreciate that any particles that can be pelleted by such modest g-force will be at least 2 order of magnitude larger than the size of the Se(0) particles recited in the instant claims. Furthermore, Applicants assert anticipation requires the disclosure in a single prior art reference each element of the claim under consideration and that the instant claims specifically recite (directly or indirectly) Se(0) particles with diameters of 0.4 to 5 nm and 0.4 to 1 nm. Examiner acknowledges Applicants' remarks and will address the arguments now. However, as explained in the November 4, 2005 Office action, while Applicants have provided support for the Se(0) particles having a diameter of 0.4 to 50 nm, 0.4 to 5 nm, or 0.4 to 1 nm (p. 8, [00042]), they have not provided support that the Se(0) particles in the instant invention or in their possession have the specified diameters. None of the working examples in the specification disclose an actual measurement of the diameters of the Se(0) particles of the instant invention. Zhang et al. (2001 BioFactors 15: 27-38; IDS and previously cited) teach transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to measure their Se(0) particles (p. 28). Therefore, since Applicants have not provided evidence that the Se(0) particles of

the instant invention have diameters of 0.4 to 5 nm and 0.4 to 1 nm, the instant claims can be anticipated by a composition comprising elemental Se and/or a colloid Se.

## **Objections and Rejections**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lou et al. (1993 Clinical Chemistry 39(4): 619-624) in view of Zhang et al. (2001 BioFactors 15: 27-38; IDS). The teachings of Lou et al. are outlined above. The colloid selenium prepared by Lou et al. is provided in Devereaux et al. (EP 0323605; submitted by Applicants). In preparing the colloidal selenium composition, bovine serum albumin (BSA) was added to a selenium suspension containing anti-E.coli antibody (EP 0323605 p. 12). Lou et al. do not teach a living target cell.

Zhang et al. disclose a solution containing Se(0) and BSA (p. 28). Zhang et al. further disclose human hepatoma HepG2 cells are incubated with medium containing Se. It was noted Se induced cell growth inhibition (p. 32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to formulate a composition comprising elemental Se(0) particles and a target cell-specific carrier molecule, such as albumin, as disclosed by Lou et al. and use it as an incubation medium for the HepG2 cells of Zhang et al. (claims 7, 10-

12). The motivation to do so is given by Zhang et al., which teaches that a solution of Se(0) and albumin can successfully induce cell growth inhibition and have potential applicability in cancer treatment.

Claims 52-56 and 58-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (2001 BioFactors 15: 27-38; IDS). Zhang et al. disclose Se, in several forms, suppresses the growth of tumor cells *in vivo* and *in vitro* (p. 27). Consumption of 200 ug Se per day in cancer patients reduced mortality and depressed the incidence of many cancers including lung, colorectal and prostate cancers (p. 27). Zhang et al. further disclose Se is a putative chemopreventative agent, and though the exact mechanism for its anticarcinogenic activity still remains to be elucidated, it is likely that multiple pathways are involved (p. 27). Zhang et al. also disclose a composition comprising nano elemental selenium that is prepared with bovine serum albumin (BSA) (p. 28). To study cell viability, human hepatoma HepG2 cells were treated with Se (p. 28, methods).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat a cancer cell or a human or nonhuman subject having a cancer cell by administering a composition that comprises Se(0) particles in an amount sufficient to kill the cancer cell with albumin because Zhang et al. teach the treatment of a cancer cell with a composition containing Se(0) particles and albumin and further suggest Se is a chemopreventive agent that can suppress the growth of tumor cells *in vivo* and *in vitro* (claims 52-56, 58-61, 63-66). Although Zhang et al. do not disclose a

Art Unit: 1653

method of reducing the level of intracellular glutathione in a cell, this element is unpatentable over Zhang et al. because Zhang et al. already disclose the treatment of a cell with a composition that comprises Se(0) particles (claim 62). Therefore, the functional limitation of reducing the level of intracellular glutathione in a cell will occur following the treatment of a cell with Se(0) particles as disclosed by Zhang et al.

Claims 26-30 and 57 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha M. Tsay whose telephone number is 571-272-2938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,870

Art Unit: 1653

April 17, 2006

HOBERT A. WAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7